

ON A PROBLEM OF KLEE CONCERNING CONVEX POLYTOPES

BY
P. McMULLEN

ABSTRACT

A non-simplicial d -polytope is shown to have strictly fewer k -faces ($[(d-1)/2] \leq k \leq d-1$) than some simplicial d -polytope with the same number of vertices; actual numerical bounds are given. This provides a strong affirmative answer to a problem of Klee.

Let P be a d -polytope, and for $0 \leq k < d$, let $f_k(P)$ be the number of its k -faces. (We shall follow the terminology of [1] throughout the paper.) We denote by $\mu_k(v, d)$ the maximum possible number of k -faces of a d -polytope with v vertices:

$$\mu_k(v, d) = \max \{f_k(P) \mid P \text{ a } d\text{-polytope, } f_0(P) = v\}.$$

If we let $f_k(v, d)$ be the number of k -faces of the cyclic polytope $C(v, d)$ ([1, §4.7], see also [8]), or of any simplicial neighbourly d -polytope with v vertices ([1, §7.2 and §9.6]), then the Upper-bound Conjecture states that for all $v > d > k \geq 1$, $\mu_k(v, d) = f_k(v, d)$. The Upper-bound Conjecture has been proved in almost all cases, but it still remains open for certain numbers of vertices in dimensions greater than 8. (For further details, see [1, 2, 3, 5].)

Quite apart from the problem of determining $\mu_k(v, d)$, it is obviously of interest to consider the problem of determining the maximum possible numbers of faces of polytopes in certain restricted classes. For example, centrally symmetric polytopes have been investigated in [1, §6.4, 3, 6], and the results of [3, 6] in particular show that the upper-bound problem in this case is probably very difficult. In this paper, we shall find a strong affirmative answer to a problem of Klee, who asked ([4]) if it were true that if, for some $[(d-1)/2] \leq k \leq d-1$, a d -polytope P with v vertices had $\mu_k(v, d)$ k -faces, then P was simplicial. We shall show

THEOREM. *Let P be a non-simplicial d -polytope with v vertices. Then for $[(d-1)/2] \leq k \leq d-2$,*

Received July 29, 1969

$$f_k(P) \leq \mu_k(v, d) - \binom{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1}{k - \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor},$$

and

$$f_{d-1}(P) \leq \mu_{d-1}(v, d) - \lfloor d/2 \rfloor.$$

Grünbaum ([1, addendum]) reports a private communication from Perles answering Klee's original question (although no proof has been published), and the case $k = d - 1$ of Klee's problem is answered by [1, theorem 10.1.1]. The theorem stated above does not involve the particular value of $\mu_k(v, d)$, although we shall show that, if the Upper-bound Conjecture holds, then the inequality of the theorem is sharp.

The proof of the theorem depends upon appropriate modifications of the procedures of pulling and pushing the vertices of a polytope, described in [1, §5.2; 4]. It is known that if we pull (or push) each of the vertices of a polytope in turn, we change it into a simplicial polytope with the same number of vertices, and at least as many faces of higher dimension. We shall show that we can assume, without loss of generality, that P has a simplicial facet F which is not a simplex, and that by pulling some vertex of F , for $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor \leq k \leq d-1$, we can increase $f_k(P)$ by at least the deficit given in the statement of the theorem.

The first step in the proof is to show that we can assume that P has a simplicial facet F with $d+1$ vertices. First suppose that P has a facet F which is not a simplex, so that F has at least $d+1$ vertices. F determines a supporting hyperplane $H = \text{aff } F$ of P . We now pull, in H , each of the vertices of F in turn. That is, if x is a vertex of F , then we replace x by a new vertex x' (again in H) which is beyond each facet of P which contains x , except F (and so beyond each facet of F which contains x), and beneath every other facet of P (and so beneath every facet of F which does not contain x). The resulting $(d-1)$ -polytope F' is a facet of the new polytope P' , and an easy modification of [1, theorem 5.2.3] shows that

LEMMA. For each k with $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, $f_k(P') \geq f_k(P)$.

As a result, we have not decreased the number of k -faces, and we still have a non-simplicial polytope. We now relabel P', F' as P, F , respectively. If F has more than $d+1$ vertices, then we choose an arbitrary subset of $d+1$ vertices of F (which by our assumption about F we can assume to be in general position in $H = \text{aff } F$). We now push the remaining vertices of F in turn; that is, if x is one of these vertices, we replace it by a new vertex $x' \in \text{int } P$ (the interior of P), such

that the half-open line segment $[x', x]$ meets no hyperplane spanned by vertices of P . If we call the resulting polytope P' , then again the lemma holds.

So, the facet F can be assumed to be a simplicial $(d - 1)$ -polytope with $d + 1$ vertices, and so F is a polytope of type $T^{r,s}$ (say), where $1 \leq r \leq s$, $r + s = d - 1$ ([7, §3.4]; Grünbaum denotes this polytope by T_r^d or T_s^d in [1, §6.1]). We can denote the vertices of $T^{r,s}$ by $y_0, \dots, y_r, z_0, \dots, z_s$, where $\text{conv}\{y_0, \dots, y_r\}$ and $\text{conv}\{z_0, \dots, z_s\}$ are r - and s -simplices, respectively, which meet in a single relatively interior point of each.

A k -face of $T^{r,s}$ ($k < d - 1$) is determined by any subset of $t \leq r$ vertices from $\{y_0, \dots, y_r\}$ and $u \leq s$ from $\{z_0, \dots, z_s\}$, with $t + u = k + 1$. So, the number of k -faces of $T^{r,s}$ which contain y_0 is

$$\sum_{t+u=k, t < r, u \leq s} \binom{r}{t} \binom{s+1}{u}.$$

To each of these k -faces corresponds exactly one $(k - 1)$ -face of $T^{r,s}$ which does not contain y_0 . However, the total number of $(k - 1)$ -faces of $T^{r,s}$ ($k \leq d - 1$) which do not contain y_0 is

$$\sum_{t+u=k, t \leq r, u \leq s} \binom{r}{t} \binom{s+1}{u},$$

and so the total number of $(k - 1)$ -faces G of $T^{r,s}$ such that $\text{conv}\{(y_0) \cup G\}$ is not a k -face of $T^{r,s}$ is

$$\binom{s+1}{k-r}.$$

Since $r + s = d - 1$, $r \leq s$ and $k \geq [(d - 1)/2]$, this number is strictly positive, and clearly takes its minimal value

$$\binom{[d/2] + 1}{k - [(d - 1)/2]}$$

when $r = [(d - 1)/2]$ and $s = [d/2]$. We now see at once that if we pull the vertex y_0 of P , we must increase the number of k -faces of P by at least this number (or this number less 1 if $k = d - 1$), which proves the theorem.

We shall conclude by giving a brief description of a polytope which achieves the bound of the theorem, under the assumption that the Upper-bound Conjecture holds. Let $C(v, d)$ be the cyclic polytope, whose vertices are, in order along the

moment curve ([1, §4.7]), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v . Now $\text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_{v-1}\}$ is the cyclic polytope $C(v-1, d)$, and x_v is beyond certain certain facets of $C(v-1, d)$ (precisely those which are not facets of $C(v, d)$), and beneath the others. If d is even, let $F = \text{conv}\{x_1, x_{v-d+1}, \dots, x_{v-1}\}$, and if d is odd, let $F = \text{conv}\{x_{v-d}, \dots, x_{v-1}\}$ so that x_v is beyond F . Let y be a point of the hyperplane aff F , such that y is beneath or beyond exactly the same facets of $C(v-1, d)$ (except F) as x_v , and let $P = \text{conv}(C(v-1, d) \cup \{y\})$. (The only difficult part of this example is to demonstrate that such a point y exists; this may be seen by considering the facets of $C(v-1, d)$ adjacent to F .) Then the facet $\text{conv}(F \cup \{y\})$ of P is of type $T^{r,s}$ ($r = [(d-1)/2]$, $s = [d/2]$), and the vertex y_0 in the last part of the proof of the theorem can be taken to be y . If we pull the vertex y of P to x_v , we recover $C(v, d)$, and the only change in the number of k -faces arises from the facet $T^{r,s} = \text{conv}(F \cup \{y\})$. That is, P achieves the upper-bound of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. B. Grünbaum, *Convex polytopes*, John Wiley and Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1967.
2. B. Grünbaum, *Some results on the Upper-bound Conjecture for convex polytopes* (to be published).
3. B. Grünbaum, *On the enumeration of convex polytopes and combinatorial spheres* (to be published).
4. V. Klee, *The number of vertices of a convex polytope*. *Canad. J. Math.* **16** (1964), 701-720.
5. P. McMullen, *On the Upper-bound Conjecture for convex polytopes* (to be published).
6. P. McMullen and G. C. Shephard, *Diagrams for centrally symmetric polytopes*, *Mathematika* **15** (1968), 123-138.
7. P. McMullen and G. C. Shephard, *The Upper-bound Conjecture for convex polytopes*, lecture notes, University of East Anglia, 1968 (to be published).
8. G. C. Shephard, *A theorem on cyclic polytopes*, *Israel J. Math.* **6** (1968), 368-372.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY,
EAST LANSING
AND
WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE,
BELLINGHAM, WA.